

Northeastern University

Systems Security Lab



Hidden GEMs: Automated Discovery of Access Control Vulnerabilities in Graphical User Interfaces

35th IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy

<u>Collin Mulliner</u>, William Robertson, Engin Kirda {crm,wkr,ek}[at]ccs.neu.edu

NEU SECLAB

Graphical User Interfaces (GUIs)

- De facto standard to interact with most computing devices
 - Desktop, smart phone, computer-based appliances, ...




GUIs → Widgets and Windows

- Widget → base UI element
 - Smallest element in a UI framework
 - On MS Windows: widget = window

- Common widgets
 - Window
 - Frame
 - Button
 - Check-box
 - Text edit field
 - Drop down box
 - Slider





Widget Attributes

- Attributes allow to change widget behavior at runtime
 - Allows user interface to be dynamic

Common attributes


```
Enabled → enable / disable widget
```

Visibility → show / hide widget

Read/Write → allow / disallow changing data stored in widget

Widget Attributes

- Attributes allow to change widget behavior at runtime
 - Allows user interface to be dynamic



Read/Write

a stored in widget


Login button disabled → **indicates username required**

Access Control

- Basic security requirement
- Common in any kind of enterprise application
- Especially applications that handle sensitive data
- Different privilege levels
 - Create / Add data
 - View data
 - Modify data
 - Execute privileged functionality

Access Control


- Basic security requirement
- Common in any kind of enterprise application
- Especially applications that handle sensitive data
- Different privilege levels
 - Create / Add data
 - View data
 - Modify data
 - Execute privileged functionality
- Implementing access control using the GUI is tempting



Disabled Button

Application Specific User

NEU SECLAB



Disabled Button

Application Specific User

NEU SECLAB

- Widgets can be manipulated
 - Feature of UI frameworks
 - No need to modify application binary

Manipulate widget → bypass GUI-based access control

A Real World Attack

(demo)

- Widgets can be manipulated
 - Feature of UI frameworks
 - No need to modify application binary

Manipulate widget → bypass GUI-based access control

Attacks using the UI are folklore

We are first to systemantically investigate GUI security

Contributions

- We introduce GUI Element Misuse (GEMs)
 - Novel class of security vulnerabilities
 - Misuse of GUI elements for access control
- We define three classes of GEMs
 - Information Disclosure and Modification, Callback Execution
- Developed GEM Miner to automatically find GEMs
 - Find and verify GEMs in black box fashion
- We evaluated GEM Miner on applications for MS Windows
 - Found a number of GEMs in commercial software

Threat Model

- Applications with internal user management
 - Multiple users or user and administrator
 - Accounts are NOT backed by the OS

- Accounts have different privileges
 - Reading vs. writing data
 - Executing privileged functionality

- Application domain
 - Enterprise applications → users with different privileges
 - Applications that manage data → require access control

GUI Element Misuse (GEM)

Misusing GUI elements to implement access control

GEM vulnerability → access control bypass vulnerability

- GEM classes
 - Unauthorized Callback Execution
 - Unauthorized Information Disclosure
 - Unauthorized Information Manipulation

Unauthorized Callback Execution

- Activation of UI element results in callback execution
 - Click button → execute callback → perform operation

- Assumption
 - Disabled UI element cannot be interacted with

- Attack
 - Enable UI element
 - Interact with UI element
 - Execute callback → perform operation

Unauthorized Information Disclosure

- UI element is used to store sensitive information
 - UI element is shown only to privileged user

- Assumption
 - Hidden UI element cannot be made visible

- Attack
 - Set UI element visible
 - UI element is drawn by the UI framework
 - Data stored in UI element can be accessed
 - Access data stored in UI element programmatically

Dangling Information Disclosure

- Sensitive information is not scrubbed from UI element
 - Role-switch: user → privileged user → user

- Assumption
 - Hidden UI element cannot be made visible

- Attack
 - Set UI element visible
 - UI element is drawn by the UI framework
 - Data stored in UI element can be accessed
 - Access data stored in UI element programmatically

Unauthorized Data Modification

- UI element is used to display and edit data
 - Privileged user can edit data
 - Unprivileged user can view data

- Assumptions
 - Read-Only UI element does prevent data modification
 - Data modified only if element was writable → save data

- Attack
 - Set UI element Read-Write
 - Set/Change data
 - Click "save"

Two Corner Stones of GEM Vulnerabilities


False assumptions by developers

- GUI cannot be changed externally
 - Widget attributes are protected

Non sophisticated attacker


- Only point-and-click
- Black box attack → change value in field OR click button
 - No reverse engineering or program understanding
 - Don't need to manually temper with files or database
 - No network protocol knowledge

The GEM Miner Analysis




- Systematically test applications for GEM vulnerabilities
 - Automated analysis
 - Complex applications cannot be tested manually
- Black box analysis
 - We do NOT require: source code, reverse engineering, etc.

The GEM Miner System




- Explore application UI and record widgets and attributes
- Identify GEM candidate widgets
- Check the GEM candidates

Application Seeding



- Create application specific users
 - Users + administrator
- Create data
 - e.g., items of an inventory management system
- Configure access control (restrict privileges of one account)


UI Exploration



- Tool Engineer
- Explore the application's UI
 - Interact with widgets
 - click button, set check box, select drop down, ...


- Record
 - Widgets and attributes
 - Interactions

UI Exploration - for all privilege levels



- UI Exploration is executed once for each distinct privilege level
- Result: UI State for each privilege level
- UI State
 - Windows, contained widgets, and their attributes


GEM Candidate Identification



- J
- Compare UI States of different privilege levels
 - Widget with different attributes → GEM candidate


Level	Attributes	UI Element	Label
Low	Visible <mark>Disabled</mark>	TbitBtn	"New Article"
High	Visible Enabled	TbitBtn	"New Article"
Low	Visible Enabled	TbitBtn	"Help"
High	Visible Enabled	TbitBtn	"Help"
Low	Visible Enabled <mark>Read</mark>	EDIT	и п
High	Visible Enabled Write	EDIT	и п

GEM Candidates



- GEM Candidate
 - Widget that likely can be used to bypass access control
- Candidate information
 - Widget type and ID
 - Path to candidate widget
 - "successor" (e.g. if widget creates a new window)

GEM Checking



- Execute AUT
- Drive application to GEM candidate
- Test GEM candidate
 - Manipulate and activate widget
 - Inspect result


GEM Candidate Testing

- Different strategy for each widget and GEM type
 - Callback execution: active widget → callback executed?
 - Information disclosure: can widget contain data?
 - Information modification: modified data accepted by app?


- Black box testing
 - Manipulate the UI for testing
 - Check results by only inspecting the UI

- Tests are independent from the application
 - No application specific knowledge needed


Drive application to window containing GEM candidate




- Set text edit field writable
- Change/Set test value
- Close window




- Drive application to window containing GEM candidate
- Check if test value present



- Drive application to window containing GEM candidate
- Check if test value present



Result → GEMs no longer hidden!



Evaluation


	(GEM Candidates			Automatically Confirmed			Manually Confirmed		
Application	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Modification	Callbacks	Runtime	
App1	44	-	2	44	-	2	-	-	51 sec	
App2	1	1	8	-	-	4	-	2	205 sec	
Proffix	-	23	10	-	17	7	3	1	666 sec	
Total	45	24	20	44	17	13	3	3		

- App1 : inventory management
 - Multiple users + admin mode
- App2 : employee and project management
 - Multiple users + admin
- Proffix : customer relationship management
 - Multiple users + admin, fine-grained access control

Results - Callback GEMs

	GEI			Automatically Confirmed			Manually Confirmed		
Application	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Modification	Callbacks	Runtime
App1	44	-	2	44	-	2	-	-	51 sec
App2	1	1	8	-	-	4	-	2	205 sec
Proffix	-	23	10	-	17	7	3	1	666 sec
Total	45	24	20	44	17	13	3	3	


- App2 : disables button to deny export DB functionality
 - Enable button → execute export DB
- Unconfirmed candidates
 - Actual access control



Results - Information Disclosure GEMs

GEM Candidates				Auto	matically Confir	med	Manually Confirmed		
Application	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Modification	Callbacks	Runtime
App1	44	-	2	44	-	2	-	-	51 sec
App2	1	1	8	-	-	4	-	2	205 sec
Proffix	-	23	10	-	17	7	3	1	666 sec
Total	45	24	20	44	17	13	3	3	


- App1: creates a large number of top-level windows on startup
 - Including the user management window
- App1: dangling disclosure
 - Switch: user → admin → user
 admin password in hidden window



Results - Information Modification GEMs

	GEM Candidates			Autor	natically Confir	med	Manually Confirmed		
Application	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Disclosure	Modification	Callbacks	Modification	Callbacks	Runtime
App1	44	-	2	44	-	2	-	-	51 sec
App2	1	1	8	-	-	4	-	2	205 sec
Proffix	-	23	10	-	17	7	3	1	666 sec
Total	45	24	20	44	17	13	3	3	

- Proffix: R/W access control for database via text field attribute
 - Red boxes → Read-Only text fields
- Unconfirmed candidates
 - Field cannot be changed
 - Field relies on other value



Summary

- GEM Vulnerabilities
 - Exist in commercial software
 - Can be exploited by non sophisticated attackers

- GEM Miner Analysis
 - Systematic method to find GEM vulnerabilities
 - Independent of UI framework and application

- The GEM Miner System
 - Can automatically find and verify GEM bugs
 - Implemented for Windows but can be ported to other OSes

Conclusions

- We introduced GUI Element Misuse (GEMs)
 - New class of security vulnerabilities
 - Misuse of the UI to implement access control
- We defined three classes of GEMs.
 - Information Disclosure and Modification, Callback Execution
- We build GEM Miner to analyze Windows applications for GEMs
 - We discovered a number of previously-unknown bugs
- First step towards including the UI in security testing
 - We specifically address access control vulnerabilities





Northeastern University

Systems Security Lab

EOF

Thank you!

Questions?

NEU SECLAB